PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	_					
To: JANE MASSEY LICATA LICATA & TYRRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28 APR 2005					
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
DRE-0166 International application No. International filing date	e (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
International approximation	2002 (04.11.2002)					
PCT/US04/37076 04 November 2004 (04 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific						
IPC(7): D06M 11/73 and US Cl.: 8/ 128.1, 127.5, 115.7; 423/4	47.2; 428/30/					
Applicant						
DREXEL UNIVERSITY						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following ite	ems:					
Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited	· · ·					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international	application					
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the interna	ational application					
Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chose that written opinions of this International Searching Author						
mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of	written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Stanley Silverman					
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	miles have No. 571, 272-1700					
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. 571-272-1700					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
DCT/IJS04/27076	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International, application No. PCT/US04/37076

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
No	voity (11)	Claims Claims	<u>Z</u>	ES O
Inve	omine step (25)	Claims Claims	NONE	ES
Ind	ustrial application (111)	Claims Claims	1-3	ES O

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1 and 3-5 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Goldstein (US 6,126,888).

Goldstein teaches that a fiber yarn may be prepared by mixing organic fibers such as rayon, silk, and KEVLAR with carbon nanotubes to form a composite yarn or fiber. Claims 3-5 merely recite known uses of silk fibers and the intended use of the composite fiber is not seen to limit the fiber itself.

Claims 1-5 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Glatkowski et al. (US 6,265,466 B1) in view of Senecal et al. (US 2001/0045547 A1).

Glatkowski et al. teach the formation of composite fibers containing polymeric materials including natural and synthetic polymers, as well as polymeric materials of plant, animal, or microbial origin. It would have been obvious to use silk, as it is seen to be a polymeric material of animal origin. Glatkowski teaches that the composites may be formed into fibers, which can be formed by conventional processing techniques. Senecal et al. teaches a process wherein composite fibers containing polymer materials and carbon nanotubes are formed by electrostatic spinning. It would have been obvious to use electrospinning, as taught by Senecal et al., in the process of Glatkowski et al. in order to process the composite into fibers. Claims 3-5 merely recite known uses of silk fibers and the intended use of the composite fiber is not seen to limit the fiber itself.

Claim 2 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not teach the electrospinning of fibers containing silk and carbon nanotubes.

Claims 1-5 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.